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**Instructions to Students:**

1. This assignment accounts for **50%** of the total marks for the course.

2. Your assignment should be between **2500 to 3000 words excluding** references.

3. **Do not** copy the assignment question and instructions to your answer.

4. Your assignment should be prepared individually. You should not copy another person’s assignment. You should also not plagiaries another person’s work as your own.

5. Your assignment must be submitted on **22nd April 2016**.

1. **You have been requested by the Director General of Health Services to attend to a news article published below. He further requested you to write a policy document indicating the effective solution/ solutions to the problem in concern.**

***INSTRUCTIONS***

*Proper page layout and needed*

*Place rubric for this too*

1. *Page layout ( cover page , executive summary , letter of index ,table and figure index, annexure, following page format )*
2. *Introduction and background- situation analysis*
3. *Problem analysis*
4. *Selection of Solutions*
5. *Policy document*
6. *Conclusion*
7. *Reference*

# Women Harassed In Public Transportation

**by**Wanigasorriya Rose

**Source : The Sunday Leader,**  <http://www.thesundayleader.lk/2015/12/13/women-harassed-in-public-transportation/>

From verbal abuse to being followed, from unwanted sexual comments to physical assault, the stories are strikingly similar, no matter what the situation.  Whilst some governments around the world are beginning to take a tougher stand against issues such as domestic violence, sexual harrassment in public is a ‘neglected’ issue.

Unlike men who move from one point to another and back,  the travel  l patterns of women may be less regular. On a daily basis many travel to child-care centers, schools, their places of employment, shops and their homes.

A recent study showed that 94% of women are being harrassed in public transportation. Advances have been made to men as well. However, our society tends to be conservative. People do not open up to the injustice that they are being subjected to, although it has not been reported some bus conductors themselves have harassed.

*The Sunday Leader* spoke to Dr Sepali Kottegoda, Executive Director of the Women and Media Collective (WMC) who  said “women do not tend to react to these advances when clearly they should. This is because it is degrading and they need to send a very strong message to the relevant authorities and the people who run these transportation operators because it is also the responsibility of every company or agency or ministry that provides transport to make sure that the passengers do not have to undergo any form of  harrassment.

“This is not the sole responsibility of women’s organisations for the victims can be a sister, a brother or a mother,” said Sharmila (fictitious name for the source chose to remain anonymous)she went on to say: “the people who are providing public transportation; what is their accountability? There has to be very strong regulations and actions against this issue.”

The following is a story of a harassment victim. A mother of two who was taking her children to school by bus said “every morning I bring my children to school by bus because it is much cheaper than going in a three wheeler. Last week, on my way home after dropping my children I felt something brushing against me. Initially I ignored it, but then it kept happening  so I tried to turn around to see what it was but since the bus was packed I could not see the assailant. I wanted to scream but because I had to keep my dignity in tact I kept quiet.” (the name was not mentioned as requested by the victim)

Women use public transportation on a daily basis but have little to say when it comes to bus fares and safety. This is unfair and women should be given the opportunity to give their opinions on public transport safety. In addition to that when women are being assaulted  when using public transport  their reaction  is always misunderstood. It sometime  centers wrongly on the victim instead of the perpetrator with the discriminatory suggestion that they should not have been travelling alone or that they should have used the bus or train earlier.

“It’s important to create awareness and to take an active stance against it.  If a victim decides to react and go to the police the perpetrator will be sentenced to five years rigorous imprisonment for sexual harassment and assault,” said Attorney and Trainer of Law, Gender and Social Empowerment Jeevanee Kariyawasam. She added that as it is possible to address these issues and people should come out more often and stand up for themselves.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | Weightage | (0) | Low(1) | Fair(2) | Above Average(3) | Excellent(4) | Score |
| 1. Introduction & Background | 2 | There is no description on the topic of discussion. | The description on the topic of discussionis poor; ideas to be discussed are very vague and disorganized. | The description on the topic of discussion is fair; ideas to bediscussed are vague and disorganized. | The description on the topic of discussion is good; ideas to be discussed are relatively clear and organizedbut inadequate explanation. | The description on the topic of discussion is excellent; ideas to be discussed are very clear and well organized. The intent of the work is explicitly explained. | **08** |
|  2. Problem Analysis | 2.5 | No clear analysis. | Contents are not focused. | Satisfactory analysis presented. | Good analysis presented. | Excellent analysis presented. | **10** |
| 3. Selection of Solutions | 2 | No clear solutions. | Solutions are not focused. | Satisfactory solutions presented. | Good solutions presented. | Solutions are excellently presented. | **08** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | Weightage | (0) | Low(1) | Fair(2) | Above Average(3) | Excellent(4) | Score |
| 3. Understanding on Policy Development | 4 | Does not demonstrate understanding on Policy Development. | Demonstrates insignificant understanding on Policy Development. | Demonstrates reasonable understanding on Policy Development. | Demonstrates considerable understanding on Policy Development. Relevant and specific supportive evidence included. | Demonstrates thorough and insightful understanding on Policy Development. Creatively includes relevant and specific evidence. | **14** |
| 4. Conclusion | 0.5 | There is no conclusion to summarizethe write - up. | A poor conclusion which does not indicate an attempt tosynthesize the discussion. | A fair conclusion which indicates reasonable analysis and synthesis of ideas. | A good conclusion which indicates considerable analysis and synthesis ofideas. | An excellent conclusion which is concisely and precisely written. It provides concluding remarks that shows an analysis and synthesis of | **2** |
| 5. References and citation according to the APA format | 1.5 | There is no references or citation. | Citations for statements included in the discussion are not present or references which are included are not found inthe text. | Some citations for statements included in the discussion references are not found in the text. | Most citations are included in the discussion and most references match the citations according to the APA format. | All citations are included in the discussion and most references match the citations according to the APA format. | **6** |
| **Total** | **50** |